


# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Virginia



United States of America )

v. )

KAMAL NIGEL WILLIAMS )

Date of Previous Judgment: December 19, 2006 )

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable) )

Case No: 5:06cr00014-1

USM No: 12084-084

Defendant's Attorney

## Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of \_\_\_\_\_ months is reduced to \_\_\_\_\_.

### I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Amended Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Previous Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ months

Amended Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ months

### II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

☐ Other (explain):

### III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS


Defendant is ineligible for a reduction because his total offense level and resulting custody range were produced by the career offender guideline, which is unaffected by Amendment 706 of the United States Sentencing Guidelines.

Further, to the extent defendant requests the appointment of counsel to assist in this matter, his request is DENIED.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 12/19/2006 shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Order Date: April 17, 2008

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge's signature

Effective Date: April 27, 2008  
(if different from order date)

Samuel G. Wilson, United States District Judge  
Printed name and title